



Lakewood Plaza Cleaners, June and November 2005 Groundwater Monitoring Results

Abstract

This progress report is one in a series describing results of long-term groundwater sampling at Lakewood Plaza Cleaners south of Tacoma. Results of volatile organics in samples collected from ten monitoring wells and one municipal well in June 2005, and four monitoring wells and one municipal well in November 2005, are included.

- Monitoring wells MW-20B and MW-16A, as well as municipal well H2, continue to have tetrachloroethene (PCE) concentrations exceeding the Model Toxic Control Act (MTCA) cleanup standard of 5.0 ug/L. PCE concentrations in these wells during June and November were: MW-20B (413 and 555 ug/L), MW-16A (80.3 and 43 ug/L), and H2 (14 and 6.4 ug/L).
- Trichloroethene (TCE) also was detected in MW-20B at concentrations of 6.6 and 6.4 ug/L, which exceeds the MTCA cleanup standard for TCE of 5.0 ug/L.
- Cis-1,2-dichloroethene (cis-1,2-DCE) was detected in wells MW-20B (12 and 11 ug/L) and MW-16A (2.8 and an estimated 0.96 ug/L). The federal maximum contaminant level for cis-1,2-DCE is 70 ug/L.

Although most concentrations are within the range of those reported in previous samplings conducted since 1991, PCE concentrations more than doubled in MW-20B from November 2004 to November 2005. PCE concentrations in well MW-16A detected in June 2005 also had increased, and PCE concentrations in municipal well H2 continue to be elevated.

Publication Information

This report is available on the Department of Ecology home page on the World Wide Web at www.ecy.wa.gov/biblio/0603010.html

Data for this project are available at Ecology's Environmental Information Management (EIM) website at www.ecy.wa.gov/eim/index.htm. Search User Study ID, LAKEWOOD.

For a printed copy of this report, contact the Department of Ecology Publications Distribution Office and refer to publication number 06-03-010.

E-mail: ecypub@ecy.wa.gov

Phone: (360) 407-7472

Address: PO Box 47600, Olympia WA 98504-7600

Author: Pamela B. Marti, L.G., L. HG.
Washington State Department of Ecology
Environmental Assessment Program
Phone: (360) 407-6768
Address: PO Box 47600, Olympia WA 98504-7600

This report was prepared by a licensed hydrogeologist. A signed and stamped copy of the report is available upon request.

Any use of product or firm names in this publication is for descriptive purposes only and does not imply endorsement by the author or the Department of Ecology.

If you need this publication in an alternate format, call Joan LeTourneau at (360) 407-6764. For persons with a speech or hearing impairment, call 711 for relay service or 800-833-6388 for TTY.

Background

In 1981, the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) confirmed that the Lakewood Water District production wells H1 and H2 (Pierce County, Washington) were contaminated with tetrachloroethene (PCE), trichloroethene (TCE), and cis-1,2-dichloroethene (cis-1,2-DCE). The source of the contamination was identified as the Lakewood Plaza Cleaners (EPA, 1983).

In 1991, the Washington State Department of Ecology (Ecology) began semi-annual, long-term groundwater monitoring at the site. The objective of this sampling is to collect groundwater quality data for Ecology's Toxics Cleanup Program to evaluate the effectiveness of Lakewood water supply wells H1 and H2 to contain and remove groundwater contaminated by Plaza Cleaners.

In 1996, the monitoring program was evaluated. Based on data collected from 1986 to 1996, it was decided to decommission half of the remaining wells and reduce the monitoring program to wells in the immediate vicinity of Plaza Cleaners. The monitoring program was evaluated again in August 2002. The current monitoring program was determined to be sufficient to meet project objectives (Ecology, 2002).

Methods

Groundwater Sampling

In June 2005, groundwater samples were collected from monitoring wells MW-16A, MW-19A, MW-20A, MW-20B, MW-27, MW-31, MW-32, MW-33, MW-40, MW-41, and municipal well H2 (Figure 1). In November 2005, groundwater samples were collected from MW-16A, MW-20A, MW-20B, MW-27, and municipal well H2.

All but one of the wells, MW-20B, are screened in the Advanced Outwash deposits, the primary water-supply aquifer for the area. Groundwater flow direction in the Advanced Outwash is west-northwest when municipal wells H1 and H2 are not in use. When in use, the wells create a large cone of depression (EPA, 1985). Well MW-20B is screened in the Vashon Till, which forms an aquitard over most of the site.

Sampling methods were consistent with those previously used on this project. Static water levels were measured using a Solinst water level meter prior to well purging and sampling. Measurements were recorded to 0.01 foot and are accurate to 0.03 foot. The probe was rinsed with deionized water between measurements.

Monitoring wells MW-16A, MW-19A, MW-20A, MW-27, MW-31, MW-32, MW-33, MW-40, and MW-41 were purged and sampled using dedicated bladder pumps. Well MW-20B, which does not have a dedicated pump, was purged and sampled with decontaminated Teflon bailers. The bailers used to sample well MW-20B were pre-cleaned with a Liquinox® wash and sequential rinses of hot tap water, 10% nitric acid, distilled/deionized water, and pesticide-grade acetone. After cleaning, the bailers were air-dried and wrapped in aluminum foil.

The monitoring wells were purged until pH, temperature, and specific conductance readings stabilized or three well volumes had been removed. Purge water from the monitoring wells was collected and stored in 55-gallon drums. The purge water waste was transported and disposed of in accordance with State of Washington regulations (Chapter 173-340-400 WAC). At the completion of purging, samples were collected from the monitoring wells directly from the dedicated pump discharge tubing into laboratory supplied containers. Municipal well H2, which pumps continuously, was sampled from a tap nearest the well.

Volatile organics samples were collected free of headspace in three 40-mL glass vials with Teflon-lined septa lids and preserved with 1:1 hydrochloric acid. Upon sample collection and proper labeling, all samples were stored in an ice-filled cooler. Samples were transported to Ecology's Operations Center in Lacey. Samples were kept in the walk-in cooler until picked up by the courier to the Ecology/EPA Manchester Environmental Laboratory in Manchester, Washington. Chain-of-custody procedures were followed according to Manchester Laboratory protocol (Ecology, 2003).




Figure 1: Lakewood Plaza Cleaners Sampling Locations

Analysis

Analytes, analytical methods, and detection limits for both field and laboratory parameters are listed in Table 1. All groundwater samples were analyzed for volatile organics.

Table 1: Analytical Methods for June and November 2005 Samples

<i>Analytes</i>	<i>Method</i>	<i>Reference</i>	
<i>Field</i>			<i>Accuracy</i>
Water Level	Solinst Well Probe	NA	0.01 feet
pH	Orion 25A Field Meter	NA	0.1 standard units
Temperature	Orion 25A Field Meter	NA	0.1 C
Specific Conductance	Beckman Conductivity Bridge	NA	10 umhos/cm
<i>Laboratory</i>			<i>Reporting Limit</i>
Volatile Organics Analysis	SW-846 Method 8260	EPA, 1996	1-5 ug/L

In general, the quality of the data is acceptable. Quality control samples collected in the field consisted of blind field duplicates obtained from well MW-16A. The numeric comparison of duplicate results is expressed as the relative percent difference (RPD). The RPD for PCE in June and November was 2%.

In addition to field quality control samples, duplicate matrix spikes and surrogate compound recoveries were performed in the laboratory. Overall, matrix spikes and surrogate recoveries were within acceptable limits. Some analytes were outside of the quality control limits and were qualified. It was determined that this did not affect the analytes of interest. Quality assurance case narratives and laboratory reporting sheets, with the complete list of volatile organics analyzed, are available upon request.

Results

Field Observations

Depth-to-water measurements and purge volume, as well as pH, specific conductance, and temperature readings, at the time of sampling are listed in Table 2.

Table 2: Summary of Field Parameters Results for June 14-15 and November 22, 2005

Well	Total Depth (feet) ¹	Depth to Water (feet) ¹	pH (standard units)	Specific Conductance (umhos/cm)	Temperature (°C)	Purge Volume (gallons)
<i>June</i>						
MW-16A	109	35.06	7.2	235	12.2	55
MW-19A	97.5	35.26	6.7	200	11.5	26
MW-20A	97.3	30.15	7.9	213	12.4	32
MW-20B	50.4	29.06	6.7	512	13.0	9
MW-27	96.4	++	7.2	193	12.2	30
MW-31	91.5	++	7.4	188	11.8	30
MW-32	114.4	59.41	6.9	200	11.7	16
MW-33	99.3	++	7.5	205	11.1	30
MW-40	75.1	34.30	7.2	331	11.4	20
MW-41	96.8	28.42	7.6	210	11.8	22
H2	110	++	6.8	185	11.8	>1000
<i>November</i>						
MW-16A	109	38.01	7.4	219	11.8	66
MW-20A	97.3	31.98	7.7	220	11.6	32
MW-20B	50.4	32.58	6.8	500	12.1	9
MW-27	96.4	++	6.8	193	11.2	30
H2	110	++	6.7	181	11.1	>1000

¹ Measured from top of PVC casing.

++ Dedicated pump obstructed water-level measurement.

All field parameters were within expected ranges. The specific conductance in well MW-20B (500-512 umhos/cm), which is screened in a fine-grained till unit, was approximately two times greater than the other wells. Specific conductance readings are typically higher for water from fine-grained units.

Analytical Results

Analytical results for volatile organics of interest are summarized in Table 3 and presented in Figure 2.

Table 3: Results (ug/L) of Volatile Organics of Interest for June 14-15 and November 22, 2005

Well	Tetrachloroethene (PCE)	Trichloroethene (TCE)	Cis-1,2-Dichloroethene (cis-1,2-DCE)
<i>June</i>			
MW-16A	80.3	1.3	2.8
MW-19A	1 U	0.57 J	1 U
MW-20A	1 U	1 U	1 U
MW-20B	413	6.6	12
MW-27	1 U	1 U	1 U
MW-31	0.53 J	1 U	1 U
MW-32	1.4	1 U	1 U
MW-33	1 U	1 U	1 U
MW-40	1 U	1 U	1 U
MW-41	1 U	1 U	1 U
H2	14	0.31 J	1 U
<i>November</i>			
MW-16A	43	0.69 J	0.96 J
MW-20A	1 U	1 U	1 U
MW-20B	555	6.4	11
MW-27	1 U	1 U	1 U
H2	6.4	1 U	1 U

Bold: Analyte detected.

U: Analyte was not detected at or above the reported value.

J: Analyte was positively identified. The associated numerical result is an estimate.

In June, PCE, TCE, and cis-1,2-DCE concentrations in well MW-20B were 413 ug/L, 6.6 ug/L, and 12 ug/L, respectively. PCE, TCE, and cis-1,2-DCE were also detected in monitoring well MW-16A at concentrations of 80.3 ug/L, 1.3 ug/L and 2.8 ug/L, respectively. PCE was detected in municipal well H2 at a concentration of 14 ug/L. PCE and TCE were detected near or below the practical quantitation limit of 1 ug/L in some of the other wells as shown in Table 3.

In November, PCE, TCE, and cis-1,2-DCE concentrations in well MW-20B were 555 ug/L, 6.4 ug/L, and 11 ug/L, respectively. PCE was detected in wells MW-16A and H2 at concentrations of 43 ug/L and 6.4 ug/L, respectively. TCE, and cis-1,2-DCE were also detected in MW-16A at concentrations below the practical quantitation limit of 1 ug/L.

Chloroform was tentatively identified in municipal well H2 at estimated concentrations ranging from 0.46 ug/L to 0.66 ug/L during June and November. The chloroform is probably the result of chlorination during water treatment.




Figure 2: Lakewood Plaza Cleaners PCE, TCE and Cis-1,2-DCE Concentrations (ug/L), June and November 2005.

PCE, TCE, and cis-1, 2-DCE concentrations for sampling events from January 1991 through November 2005 are presented in the Appendix. Table 4 shows average PCE and TCE concentrations that have exceeded the MTCA cleanup standard of 5.0 ug/L during the same period.

Table 4: Average Annual PCE and TCE Concentrations (ug/L) that Exceeded MTCA Method A Cleanup Standards for Groundwater of 5 ug/L

Year	MW-20B		MW-16A	H1/H2
	PCE	TCE	PCE	PCE
1991	657	12	19	---
1992	640	14	8	---
1993	443	12	28	---
1994	279	8.6	21	---
1995	340	8.4	27	9
1996	370	7	45	4
1997	297	4	50	13
1998	515	8	33	10
1999	715	7	22	3
2000	416	6	31	9
2001	489	7	28	9
2002	309	8.5	34	9
2003	234	5.4	42	6.4
2004	293	6.6	39	5.3
2005	484	6.5	62	10.2

-- = Not tested.

PCE concentrations continue to be elevated in wells MW-20B and MW-16A. Municipal wells H1 and H2, which were added to the monitoring program in 1995, also have elevated PCE concentrations.

Figure 3 shows PCE concentrations for MW-20B and MW-16A between 1985 and 2005. Since 1985, PCE concentrations in both wells have varied substantially.




Figure 3: PCE Concentrations for Wells MW-20B and MW-16A from 1985 to 2005

PCE concentrations decreased initially in MW-20B from March 1985 (4,800 ppb) to May 1985 (570 ppb). Between May 1985 and November 1994, concentrations have ranged from 86 to 1,200 ppb. In 1995, the sample schedule was changed from spring/fall, which corresponded to the high-water/low-water seasons, to a winter/summer schedule. Between July 1995 and July 1997, concentrations leveled off, ranging from 222 to 387 ppb. Between February 1998 and August 2001, concentrations were slightly higher, ranging from 456 to 722 ppb. During the 2002 to 2004 monitoring period, concentrations leveled off once again, ranging from 230 to 371 ppb. PCE concentrations more than doubled in MW-20B from November 2004 to November 2005.

Over the monitoring period, PCE concentrations in MW-16A have varied. Since 1995, PCE concentrations in this well have ranged from 22 to 59 ppb. However, in June 2005, PCE concentrations were 80 ppb.

All field measurements and analytical results data are available in electronic format from Ecology's EIM data management system: www.ecy.wa.gov/eim/index.htm.

Conclusions

Monitoring was conducted in June 2005 at ten monitoring wells and one municipal well, and in November 2005 at four monitoring wells and one municipal well, to evaluate volatile organics in groundwater at the Lakewood Plaza Cleaners site.

- Monitoring wells MW-20B and MW-16A, as well as municipal well H2, continue to have PCE concentrations exceeding the MTCA cleanup standard of 5.0 ug/L.
- Monitoring well MW-20B continues to have TCE concentrations exceeding the MTCA cleanup standard of 5.0 ug/L.

Although most concentrations are within the range of those reported in previous samplings conducted since 1991, PCE concentrations more than doubled in MW-20B from November 2004 to November 2005. PCE concentrations in well MW-16A detected in June 2005 also had increased.

Citations

Ecology, 2002. Five-Year Review Report. Third Five-Year Review Report for Lakewood/Ponders Corner Superfund Site, Tacoma, WA – September 2002. Toxics Cleanup Program, Washington State Department of Ecology, Olympia, WA.

Ecology, 2003. Manchester Environmental Laboratory - Laboratory Users Manual. 7th edition. Washington State Department of Ecology, Manchester, WA.

EPA, 1983. Report of the Groundwater Investigation – Lakewood, Washington, October 1981 to February 1983. U.S. Environmental Protection Agency.

EPA, 1985. Final Draft Remedial Investigation Report – Ponders Corner, Washington. U.S. Environmental Protection Agency. EPA 112-0L22.

EPA, 1996. Test Methods for Evaluating Solid Waste, SW-846. Office of Emergency Response, U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Washington, DC.

References

CH2M HILL, 1990a. Sampling and Analysis Plan Remedial Action - Lakewood.

-----, 1990b. Technical Memorandum from Lisa Dally Wilson to Ann Williamson RE: Groundwater Sampling at Lakewood (April 1990). Project No. SEA69018RA.FQ.

Marti, P., 1991. Lakewood/Plaza Cleaners Monitoring Round I - January 1991. Washington State Department of Ecology, Olympia, WA. Publication No. 91-e34. www.ecy.wa.gov/biblio/91e34.html

-----, 1991. Lakewood/Plaza Cleaners Monitoring Round II - May 1991. Washington State Department of Ecology, Olympia, WA. Publication No. 91-e35. www.ecy.wa.gov/biblio/91e35.html

-----, 1992. Lakewood/Plaza Cleaners Monitoring Round III - November 1991. Washington State Department of Ecology, Olympia, WA. Publication No. 92-e43. www.ecy.wa.gov/biblio/92e43.html

-----, 1992. Lakewood/Plaza Cleaners Monitoring Round IV - May 1992. Washington State Department of Ecology, Olympia, WA. Publication No. 92-e44. www.ecy.wa.gov/biblio/92e44.html

-----, 1993. Lakewood/Plaza Cleaners Monitoring Round V - December 1992. Washington State Department of Ecology, Olympia, WA. Publication No. 93-e38. www.ecy.wa.gov/biblio/93e38.html

-----, 1993. Lakewood/Plaza Cleaners Monitoring Round VI - May 1993.
Washington State Department of Ecology, Olympia, WA. Publication No. 93-e39.
www.ecy.wa.gov/biblio/93e39.html

-----, 1994. Lakewood/Plaza Cleaners Monitoring Round VII - December 1993.
Washington State Department of Ecology, Olympia, WA. Publication No. 94-131.
www.ecy.wa.gov/biblio/94131.html

-----, 1994. Lakewood/Plaza Cleaners Monitoring Round VIII - April 1994.
Washington State Department of Ecology, Olympia, WA. Publication No. 94-198.
www.ecy.wa.gov/biblio/94198.html

-----, 1995. Lakewood/Plaza Cleaners Monitoring Round IX - November 1994.
Washington State Department of Ecology, Olympia, WA. Publication No. 95-340.
www.ecy.wa.gov/biblio/95340.html

-----, 1995. Lakewood/Plaza Cleaners Monitoring - July 11, 12 & 14, 1995.
Washington State Department of Ecology, Olympia, WA. Publication No. 95-359.
www.ecy.wa.gov/biblio/95359.html

-----, 1996. Lakewood/Plaza Cleaners Monitoring - January 17-18, 1996.
Washington State Department of Ecology, Olympia, WA. Publication No. 96-317.
www.ecy.wa.gov/biblio/96317.html

-----, 1997. Lakewood/Plaza Cleaners Monitoring - July 31, 1996.
Washington State Department of Ecology, Olympia, WA. Publication No. 97-312.
www.ecy.wa.gov/biblio/97312.html

-----, 1997. Lakewood/Plaza Cleaners Monitoring - January 9, 1997.
Washington State Department of Ecology, Olympia, WA. Publication No. 97-324a.
www.ecy.wa.gov/biblio/97324a.html

-----, 1997. Lakewood/Plaza Cleaners Monitoring - July 23-24, 1997.
Washington State Department of Ecology, Olympia, WA. Publication No. 97-339.
www.ecy.wa.gov/biblio/97339.html

-----, 1998. Lakewood/Plaza Cleaners Monitoring - February 2, 1998.
Washington State Department of Ecology, Olympia, WA. Publication No. 98-325.
www.ecy.wa.gov/biblio/98325.html

-----, 1999. Lakewood/Plaza Cleaners Monitoring - July 15, 1998.
Washington State Department of Ecology, Olympia, WA. Publication No. 99-312.
www.ecy.wa.gov/biblio/99312.html

-----, 1999. Lakewood/Plaza Cleaners Long-term Monitoring, January and August, 1999. Washington State Department of Ecology, Olympia, WA. Publication No. 99-344. www.ecy.wa.gov/biblio/99344.html

-----, 2000. Lakewood/Plaza Cleaners, January and August 2000 Groundwater Monitoring Sampling Results. Washington State Department of Ecology, Olympia, WA. Publication No. 00-03-046. www.ecy.wa.gov/biblio/0003046.html

-----, 2001. Lakewood/Plaza Cleaners, January and August 2001 Groundwater Monitoring Sampling Results. Washington State Department of Ecology, Olympia, WA. Publication No. 01-03-032. www.ecy.wa.gov/biblio/0103032.html

-----, 2003. Lakewood Plaza Cleaners, February and August 2002 Groundwater Monitoring Sampling Results. Washington State Department of Ecology, Olympia, WA. Publication No. 03-03-007. www.ecy.wa.gov/biblio/0303007.html

-----, 2003. Lakewood Plaza Cleaners, February and September 2003 Groundwater Monitoring Results. Washington State Department of Ecology, Olympia, WA. Publication No. 03-03-050. www.ecy.wa.gov/biblio/0303050.html

-----, 2004. Lakewood Plaza Cleaners, June and November 2004 Groundwater Monitoring Results. Washington State Department of Ecology, Olympia, WA. Publication No. 04-03-054. www.ecy.wa.gov/biblio/0403054.html

Appendix. Summary of Sample Results (ug/L) from January 1991 to November 2005

Well Number	January 1991			May 1991			November 1991			May 1992			December 1992		
	PCE	TCE	cis-1,2-DCE	PCE	TCE	cis-1,2-DCE	PCE	TCE	cis-1,2-DCE	PCE	TCE	cis-1,2-DCE	PCE	TCE	cis-1,2-DCE
MW-16A	28	1 J	2.4 J	26	0.6 J	2	2.7 J	1 U	0.6 J	7	1 U	1	9 J	0.3 J	0.8 J
MW-20A	1 U	1 U	1 U	0.4 J	1 U	1 U	0.4 J	1 U	1 U	0.5 J	1 U	1 U	0.8 J	1 UJ	1 UJ
MW-20B	1100 D	18	33	752	16	30	120	2.6 J	6.7	940	13	32	340 J	14 J	20 J
MW-21	2.1 J	1 U	1 J	2	1 U	0.7 J	2.2 J	1 U	1.0 J	2	1 U	0.6 J	2	0.2 J	0.3 J
MW-27	1 U	1 U	1 U	1 U	1 U	1 U	1 U	1 U	1 U	1 U	1 U	1 U	1 UJ	1 UJ	1 UJ
MW-28A	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
MW-31	1 J	1 U	1.9 J	0.6 J	1 U	2	0.9 J	1 U	2.2 J	0.8 J	1 U	1	0.5 J	1 UJ	0.9 J
MW-32	1 J	1 U	1.1 J	1	1 U	2	0.6 J	1 U	0.6 J	0.7 J	1 U	1	0.7 J	1 UJ	0.5 J
MW-41	1 U	1 U	1 U	1 U	1 U	1 U	1 U	1 U	1 U	1 U	1 U	1 U	1 UJ	1 UJ	1 UJ
MW-19A	--	--	--	--	--	--	1 U	0.5 J	1 U	--	--	--	1 UJ	1 UJ	1 UJ
MW-33	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
MW-40	1 U	1 U	1 U	--	--	--	1 U	1 U	1 U	--	--	--	1 UJ	1 UJ	1 UJ
H1/H2	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--

Well Number	May 1993			December 1993			April 1994			November 1994			July 1995		
	PCE	TCE	cis-1,2-DCE	PCE	TCE	cis-1,2-DCE	PCE	TCE	cis-1,2-DCE	PCE	TCE	cis-1,2-DCE	PCE	TCE	cis-1,2-DCE
MW-16A	44	10 U	2 J	13	0.3 J	0.7 J	33	0.6	1.4	9.7	0.3 J	0.5 J	27	0.5 J	0.8 J
MW-20A	10 U	10 U	10 U	0.3 J	1 U	1 U	0.4	0.2 U	0.2 U	0.3 J	1 U	1 U	0.4 J	1 U	1 U
MW-20B	700 D	12	21	187	50 U	8.2 J	472	8.6 J	12.6	86	50 U	3 J	340 D	8.4	17
MW-21	1 J	10 U	10 U	1.6	1 U	0.4 J	1.5	0.2 J	0.3	1.8	0.2 J	0.3 J	--	--	--
MW-27	10 U	10 U	10 U	1 U	1 U	1 U	0.2 U	0.2 U	0.2 U	1 U	1 U	1 U	1 U	1 U	1 U
MW-28A	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	1 U	1 U	1 U
MW-31	10 U	10 U	10 U	0.8 J	1 U	1.2 J	0.7	0.2 U	1.0	0.8 J	1 U	1	0.6 J	1 U	0.5 J
MW-32	10 U	10 U	10 U	0.7 J	1 U	0.6 J	0.7	0.2 U	0.6	0.6 J	1 U	0.5 J	0.7 J	1 U	0.5 J
MW-41	10 U	10 U	10 U	1 U	1 U	1 U	0.2 U	0.2 U	0.2 U	1 U	1 U	1 U	1 U	1 U	1 U
MW-19A	--	--	--	1 U	0.4	1 U	0.2 U	0.5	0.2 U	--	--	--	1 U	0.4 J	1 U
MW-33	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	1 U	1 U	1 U
MW-40	--	--	--	1 U	1 U	1 U	0.2 U	0.2 U	0.2 U	--	--	--	1 U	1 U	1 U
H1/H2	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	9	0.3 J	1 U

- U = The analyte was not detected at or above the reported result.
- J = The analyte was positively identified. The associated numerical result is an estimate.
- UJ = The analyte was not detected at or above the reported estimated result.
- D = Analysis performed at secondary dilution.
- E = The concentration of the associated value exceeds the known calibration range.
- = Not tested
- Bold** = The analyte was positively identified.

Appendix (cont.). Summary of Sample Results (ug/L) from January 1991 to November 2005

Well Number	January 1996			July 1996			January 1997			July 1997			February 1998		
	PCE	TCE	cis-1,2-DCE	PCE	TCE	cis-1,2-DCE	PCE	TCE	cis-1,2-DCE	PCE	TCE	cis-1,2-DCE	PCE	TCE	cis-1,2-DCE
MW-16A	47 E	0.8 J	1.5	43	0.7 J	1.9	54	1.1	3.1	47	0.7 J	2.5	36	0.7 J	2 J
MW-20A	0.2 J	1 U	1 U	0.4 J	1 U	1 U	0.4 J	1 U	1 U	0.3 J	1 U	2 U	0.4 J	1 U	1 U
MW-20B	353	7.2	15	387	7.6	15	373	100 U	6.4 J	222	4	6.4	456	7 J	12
MW-21	--	--	--	Well Decommissioned											
MW-27	1 U	1 U	1 U	1 U	1 U	1 U	1 U	1 U	1 U	1 U	1 U	2 U	1 U	1 U	1 U
MW-28A	1 U	1 U	1 U	Well Decommissioned											
MW-31	0.6 J	1 U	0.7 J	--	--	--	--	--	--	0.9 J	1 U	0.9 J	--	--	--
MW-32	0.8 J	1 U	0.6 J	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
MW-41	1 U	1 U	1 U	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
MW-19A	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	1 U	0.3 J	2 U	--	--	--
MW-33	--	--	--	1 U	1 U	1 U	--	--	--	1 U	1 U	2 U	--	--	--
MW-40	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
H1/H2	8.4	0.2 J	0.2 J	0.14 J	1 U	1 U	18	0.4 J	0.4 J	8.8	0.3 J	0.6 J	11	0.4 J	0.3 J

Well Number	July 1998			January 1999			August 1999			January 2000			August 2000		
	PCE	TCE	cis-1,2-DCE	PCE	TCE	cis-1,2-DCE	PCE	TCE	cis-1,2-DCE	PCE	TCE	cis-1,2-DCE	PCE	TCE	cis-1,2-DCE
MW-16A	30	1 U	1.5 J	--	--	--	22	0.4 J	1.1	40	0.7 J	1.9	22	0.3 J	0.7
MW-20A	0.6 J	1 U	1 U	1 U	2 U	1 U	0.8 J	2 U	1 U	0.2 J	2 U	1 U	0.1 J	2 U	1 U
MW-20B	575 D	10	23	708	5.2	12	722	8.4 J	16 J	184	6	13	648	200 U	100 U
MW-27	0.05 J	1 U	1 U	1 U	2 U	1 U	1 U	2 U	1 U	1 U	2 U	1 U	1 U	2 U	1 U
MW-31	--	--	--	--	--	--	0.9 J	2 U	0.4 J	--	--	--	--	--	--
MW-32	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	0.8 J	2 U	1 U
MW-41	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	1 U	2 U	1 U
MW-19A	--	--	--	--	--	--	1 U	0.4 J	1 U	--	--	--	--	--	--
MW-33	1 U	1 U	1 U	--	--	--	1 U	2 U	1 U	--	--	--	1 U	2 U	1 U
MW-40	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	1 U	2 U	1 U
H1/H2	10	1 U	0.1 J	1.5	1 U	1 U	5.2	0.2 J	1 U	10	1 U	1 U	8.7	0.03 J	1 U

- U = The analyte was not detected at or above the reported result.
- J = The analyte was positively identified. The associated numerical result is an estimate.
- UJ = The analyte was not detected at or above the reported estimated result.
- D = Analysis performed at secondary dilution.
- E = The concentration of the associated value exceeds the known calibration range.
- = Not tested
- Bold** = The analyte was positively identified.

Appendix (cont.). Summary of Sample Results (ug/L) from January 1991 to November 2005

Well Number	January 2001			August 2001			February 2002			August 2002			February 2003		
	PCE	TCE	cis-1,2-DCE	PCE	TCE	cis-1,2-DCE	PCE	TCE	cis-1,2-DCE	PCE	TCE	cis-1,2-DCE	PCE	TCE	cis-1,2-DCE
MW-16A	31	0.4 J	1	25	0.3 J	0.7 J	47	0.8 J	2.3	22	0.3 J	0.8 J	59 J	0.2 J	2.4
MW-20A	0.2 J	1 U	1 U	1 U	2 U	1 U	--	--	--	--	--	--	1 U	1 U	1 U
MW-20B	493	6.6 J	12	486	8.2	18	248	200 U	100 U	371	8.5	16	230	100 U	100 U
MW-27	1 U	1 U	1 U	1 U	2 U	1 U	1 U	2 U	1 U	1 U	2 U	1 U	1 U	1 U	1 U
MW-31	--	--	--	0.4 J	2 U	0.3 J	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
MW-32	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
MW-41	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
MW-19A	--	--	--	1 U	0.3 J	1 U	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
MW-33	--	--	--	1 U	2 U	1 U	--	--	--	1 U	1 U	1 U	--	--	--
MW-40	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
H1/H2	11	0.2 J	1 U	6.8	0.2 J	1 U	12	0.2 J	0.2 J	6.1	1 U	1 U	1.3	1 U	1 U

Well Number	September 2003			June 2004			November 2004			June 2005			November 2005		
	PCE	TCE	cis-1,2-DCE	PCE	TCE	cis-1,2-DCE	PCE	TCE	cis-1,2-DCE	PCE	TCE	cis-1,2-DCE	PCE	TCE	cis-1,2-DCE
MW-16A	26	0.3 J	0.5 J	30	0.4 J	0.8 J	48	1 U	1.4	80.3	1.3	2.8	43	0.69 J	1.0 J
MW-20A	0.1 J	1 U	1 U	0.2 J	1 U	1 U	0.3 J	1 U	1 U	1 U	1 U	1 U	1 U	1 U	1 U
MW-20B	239	5.4 J	12	344	6.5 J	15	241	6.7	13	413	6.6	12	555	6.4	11
MW-27	1 U	1 U	1 U	1 U	1 U	1 U	1 U	1 U	1 U	1 U	1 U	1 U	1 U	1 U	1 U
MW-31	0.5 J	1 U	0.1 NJ	--	--	--	--	--	--	0.53 J	1 U	1 U	--	--	--
MW-32	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	1.4	1 U	1 U	--	--	--
MW-41	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	1 U	1 U	1 U	--	--	--
MW-19A	1 U	0.4 NJ	1 U	--	--	--	--	--	--	1 U	0.57 J	1 U	--	--	--
MW-33	1 U	1 U	1 U	--	--	--	--	--	--	1 U	1 U	1 U	--	--	--
MW-40	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	1 U	1 U	1 U	--	--	--
H1/H2	6.4	0.2 NJ	1 U	7.9	0.24 J	0.1 J	2.6	1 U	1 U	14	0.31 J	1 U	6.4	1 U	1 U

- U = The analyte was not detected at or above the reported result.
- J = The analyte was positively identified. The associated numerical result is an estimate.
- UJ = The analyte was not detected at or above the reported estimated result.
- D = Analysis performed at secondary dilution.
- E = The concentration of the associated value exceeds the known calibration range.
- = Not tested
- Bold** = The analyte was positively identified.